# Simplex C++ Syntax for Solving Chemical Engineering Cost Optimization Problems

# Abdulhalim Musa Abubakar

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Modibbo Adama University (MAU), P.M.B 2076, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria

# Okoh Chibuike Francis

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

# Zakiyyu Muhammad Sarkinbaka

Department of Chemical Science, Faculty of Pure and Applied Science, Federal University, Wukari, P.M.B 1020, Katsina Ala Road, Wukari, Nigeria

## Muhammad Sabo Yahaya

Department of Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Maiduguri (UNIMAID), Bama Road, P.M.B 1069, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

**Abstract-** Chemical Engineering is a profession in which the knowledge of basic sciences and other natural sciences is applied to develop economic ways of using materials and energy for the benefit of mankind. Therefore, it is a core responsibility of chemical engineers to either maximize or minimize the cost of manufacturing useful products. This has to do with identifying constraints affecting variables for the purpose of optimization. Most often, engineers trust Simplex linear programming (LP) technique as it can solve multiple variable problems. Softwares readily available to do the tasks are Tora, Lingo and Excel Solver among others. C++ program based on Simplex technique will be run for solution of three literature work on chemical engineering optimization and result compared with the Lingo application. Results show that, values gotten in problem 1 (core binder production cost minimization), problem 2 (profit maximization for bakery production in Indonesia) and problem 3 (cost maximization for Coca Cola Bottling Company in Nigeria) corresponds with their respective literature values. It can be concluded that the C++ source code could also be an invaluable tool to solve linear programming problems (LPP).

*Keywords:* Simplex method, linear programming, C++ programming, Lingo, chemical engineering optimization

Date of Submission: 08-07-2021 Date of Acceptance: 23-07-2021

### I. INTRODUCTION

Simplex Optimization Technique is one of several methods of solving linear programming (LP) or linear optimization problems. A linear programming problem (LPP) may be defined as the problem of maximizing or minimizing a linear function subject to linear constraints. The constraints may be equalities or inequalities [8]. The linear programming is the most popular mathematical technique which deals with the optimization of linear functions subject to linear constraints [14]. Any linear program consists of four parts: a set of decision variables, the parameters, the objective function, and a set of constraints [5]. The decision-maker's intention mostly is to control the decision variable which is normally restricted by constraints. The objective function is a mathematical expression that maximizes or minimizes for a particular combinations of decision variables.

Kaur, et al., (2021) hopes to address the frequent suicide by farmers in India caused by frustrations and loses they face on routine basis, using linear programming to help them know which crop and in how much quantity they should plant to earn optimum income. Apart from agriculture, the application of LP cut across various fields, as shown in Table 1:

	Fields/Sectors	Uses			
1.	Airlines	Schedule flights, taking into accounts both scheduling aircraft and scheduling staff			
2.	Manufacturing	Maximize profit as well as plan and schedule production			
	Companies				
3.	Healthcare	To efficiently identify a kidney donation chain and to time the supply of medicines and			
	Institutions	equipment before they run out.			
4.	Logistics and	Find the shortest path/route, travel time or pricing strategy			
	transportation				
	industry				
5.	Financial	Schedule payments, funds transfer between institutions and to determine the			
	Institutions	characteristics of the loan offer.			
6.	Engineering	Solves design and manufacturing problems (helpful for doing shape optimization)			
7.	Food Industry	Help nutritionists to plan dietary needs			
8.	Retailers	Determine how to order and organize deliveries			
9.	Energy Industry	Optimize the electric load, shortest distribution lines as well as the electrical power grid			
		design			
10.	Delivery Services	To maximize shipment time and reduce cost			
11.	Agricultural Sector	Know the type and quantity of crops to be planted to increase revenue			

	A 1° /	CT .	ъ ·	
l'able l'	Applications	of Linear	Programmin	۱¢
Labie 1	reppineations	or Emea	1 1 O Stammin	- 2

This technique has also been applied in advertising, personnel and blending problems. Engineering consists of several branches. Table 1 only generalized the applications of Simplex LP techniques in engineering. Chemical engineering is one branch of engineering where this optimization techniques finds application. Examples of such application is the blending systems for chemical production that requires profit optimization. Also, Dragicevic, et al., (2009) explains how LP method can be used to minimize the total costs of energy utilized in steam condensing systems. Application of the Simplex Method of Linear Programming Model to Saclux Paint Production has also been studied by Okereke (2013). Such LP problems can be solved using methods in Figure 1:



Fig. 1: Method of Solution for Linear Programming Problem

The graphical and Karmarkar's method had been explained vividly by Vaidya, et al., (2020) and Akpan, et al., (2017) respectively. Excel Solver and R programming package as seen in Figure 1 are methods involving the use of computer applications. As the number of decision variables increase; graphical, Karmarkar and Simplex methods becomes difficult to work with manually. The fastest means of solving multiple variable problems is the use of computers. Apart from MS Excel, other softwares such as Lingo, Scilab and Tora can be applied.

### II. EXPLAINING THE SIMLEX METHOD

The simplex method was developed by American mathematician George B. Dantzig in 1947 [12]. Steps involved are summarized in Table 2

. . .

<u>.</u>

0.1

<b>Table 2:</b> Solution Steps of the Simplex Method				
(A) Form the Modified Problem				
$\checkmark$ If any problem constraints have negative constants on the right side, multiply both sides by $-1$ to obtain a constraint with a				
nonnegative constant. Remember to reverse the direction of the inequality if the constraint is an inequality				
Introduce a slack variable for each constraint of the form $\leq$ .				
✓ Introduce a surplus variable and an artificial variable in each $\geq$ constraint.				
Slack variables are additional variables that are introduced into the linear constraints of a linear program to transform them from inequality				
constraints to equality constraints				
✓ Introduce an artificial variable in each = constraint				
✓ For each artificial variable a, add −Ma to the objective function. Use the same constant M for all artificial variables				
(B) Form the <b>preliminary simplex tableau</b> for the modified problem				
(C) Use row operations to eliminate the Ms in the bottom row of the preliminary simplex tableau in the columns corresponding to the				
artificial variables. The resulting tableau is the <b>initial simplex tableau</b> .				
For a system tableau to be considered an initial simplex tableau, it must satisfy the following two requirements:				
✓ Each <b>basic variable</b> must correspond to a column in the tableau with exactly one nonzero element. The remaining variables are				
then selected as non-basic variables				
$\checkmark$ The basic solution found by setting the non-basic variables equal to zero is feasible				
(D) Perform Pivot Operations				
The objective of pivoting is to make an element above or below a leading one into a zero. Pivoting is done on a 1. Although you do not have				
to pivot on a one, it is highly desirable. Now, selecting a pivot:				
$\checkmark$ Pick the column with the most zeros in it				
✓ Use a row or column only once				
✓ Pivot on a one if possible				
✓ Pivot on the main diagonal				
✓ Never pivot on a zero				

-

.

\_

✓ Never pivot on the right hand side

Select a pivot column, which will be the column that contains the largest negative coefficient in the row containing the objective function.

Table 2 was culled out of Dass (1988), Mahto (2015) and Hussain, et al., (2019). The algorithm can further be summarized as seen in Figure 2:



Fig. 2: Simplex Method Flowchart

C++, MATLAB and Mathematica are three most widely used programming applications in Chemical Engineering. Kapuno (2008) was able to write a book explaining both the C++ and MATLAB scope with regards to Chemical Engineering. Abubakar, et al., (2021), also wrote a comprehensive problem-solving C++ code for cubic equations of state. There are lots of codes to be written as far as chemical engineering is concerned.

### **III. COMPUTER SOLUTION**

This work involves a test-run of the Simplex C++ source code for LPP, obtained from: <u>http://www.cplusplus.com/forum/general/194307</u> (see Table 4, Appendix A) for the following chemical engineering problems:

### Problem 1:

Ihom, et al., (2007) developed a core binder where Simplex Method of linear programming was used to determine the minimized cost of producing 1 kg of a core mixture. The objective function is:  $C = 0.5x_1 + 21.8x_2 + 27.8x_3 + 1.59x_4$ . Subject to

 $x_{1} = 100$   $x_{2} = 5.0$   $x_{3} = 1.5$   $x_{4} = 2.0$ where C = cost of producing 1 kg of core mixture (in Naira)  $x_{1} = \text{sand}$   $x_{2} = \text{manihot esculenta}$   $x_{3} = \text{cement}$   $x_{4} = \text{water}$ 

The result obtained by running the C++ syntax of Table 4 is as shown in Figure 3:

LINEAR PROGRAMMING MAXIMIZE (Y/N) ? y NUMBER OF VARIABLES OF ECONOMIC FUNCTION ? 4 NUMBER OF CONSTRAINTS ? 4	CONSTRAINT x3: x1 ? 0 x2 ? 0 x3 ? 1 x4 ? 0 Right hand side ? 1.5
INPUT COEFFICIENTS OF ECONOMIC FUNCTION: x1 ? 0.5 x2 ? 21.8 x3 ? 27.8 x4 ? 1.59 Right hand side ? 0	CONSTRAINT x4: x1 ? 0 x2 ? 0 x3 ? 0 x4 ? 1 Right hand side ? 2.0
CONSTRAINT x1: x1 ? 1 x2 ? 0 x3 ? 0 x4 ? 0 Right hand side ? 100	RESULTS: VARIABLE x1: 100.000000 VARIABLE x2: 5.000000 VARIABLE x3: 1.500000
CONSTRAINT x2: x1 ? 0 x2 ? 1 x3 ? 0 x4 ? 0 Right hand side ? 5.0	VARIABLE X4: 2.000000 ECONOMIC FUNCTION: 203.880000

Fig. 3: Core Binder Production Cost Minimization Result

Clearly, the minimized cost of  $\frac{N}{203.88}$  (\$0.5 – equivalent as of June 2021) of Figure 3 is the same result Ihom, et al., (2007) arrived at in page 160 of their work. When Problem 1 model is entered in Lingo 18.0 linear programming software (see Appendix B, Figure 5), the result proves to be the same.

### Problem 2:

Another area of concern for chemical engineers is food processing. Anggoro, et al., (2019), formulated the LP model for a Bintang Bakery in Indonesia to maximize profit.

Decision variables	$x_1$ = Bintang Bakery flavor (3640 packs) $x_2$ = Bintang Bakery mattress (1300 packs) $x_3$ = Bintang Bakery bargain (520 packs)			
Constraints	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			
Objective Function	$Z = 2500x_1 + 6000x_2 + 5000x_3$			

**Table 3:** Bintang Bakery Linear Optimization Model

There are a total of 18 constraints and 3 decision variables in Table 3. Excel Solver and C++ Simplex Source Code will give;  $x_1 = 14.2857$ ,  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_3 = 0$  which is an infeasible solution at Z = 35714.3. This is not the optimal solution as affirmed by Anggoro, et al., (2019). Lingo's solution is not different from the forgone. **Problem 3:** 

Akpan, et al., (2017) applied both Karmarkar and Simplex methods to maximize profit for the Nigerian Bottling Company (NBC) Port Harcourt. An optimal profit of \$107,666,640.00 was obtained when the model was run using the Tora Software. From Figure 5, it can be deduced that the maximum profit is exactly the same with the result obtained by Akpan, et.al., (2017):

LINEAR PROGRAMMING	CONSTRAINT X3:
MAXIMIZE (Y/N) ? Y	x1 ? 7.5520
	x2 ? 6.5390
NUMBER OF VARIABLES OF ECONOMIC FUNCTION ? 6	x3 ? 7.67100
	x4 ? 6.82200
NUMBER OF CONSTRAINTS 7 4	x5 ? 6.1200
INPUT COEFFICIENTS OF ECONOMIC FUNCTION:	x6 ? 4.82400
x1 ? 289.45	Right hand side ? 1637660
x2 ? 300.49	
x3 ? 287.37	CONSTRAINT X4:
x4 ? 288.09 x5 2 299.33	×1 2 0 01350
x6 ? 317.15	x2 ) 0 01220
Right hand side ? 0	x2 : 0.01330
CONSTRAINT X1:	X4 ? 0.0050
x2 ? 0.0042	x5 ? 0.01490
x3 ? 0.0021	x6 ? 0.01560
x4 ? 0.00419	Right hand side ? 8796
x5 ? 0.00359	
X0 / 0.00438 Right hand side ) 4332	
Right hand side : 4552	RESULTS:
CONSTRAINT x2:	
x1 ? 0.89000	VARIABLE x6: 339481.757877
X2 ? 1.12000	
x4 2 0.86000	ECONOMIC FUNCTION: 107666639.510779
x5 ? 0.7300	
x6 ? 0.2300	
Right hand side ? 467012	

Fig. 4: Profit Maximization for the NBC, Port Harcourt

Where  $x_1 = \text{Coke 50cl}$ ;  $x_2 = \text{Coke 35cl}$ ;  $x_3 = \text{Fanta 50cl}$ ;  $x_4 = \text{Fanta 35cl}$ ;  $x_5 = \text{Sprite 35cl}$  and;  $x_6 = \text{Schweppes 33cl}$ . Also,  $x_6 = 339482$  is the number of crates of Schweppes that will result to a maximum profit of N107,666,640 without considering other products. The Lingo Software could also be tested to verify the above result (Appendix B).

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

Three chemical engineering LP or optimization problems have been considered for solution using C++ programming as well as the Lingo Software. These problems were drawn from published articles. The results were able to verify the correctness of values obtained in those article which further affirms the validity of the C++ Source Code. Surely, this source code can be adopted for solving not only chemical engineering linear optimization problems, but almost any LPP encountered. It is suitable for multiple variables and many constraints (as in Problem 2 having 18 constraint and Problem 3 having 6 variables). The use of other computer programming softwares (e.g. Java, R, Python and Fortran) is hereby recommended for solution to chemical engineering LPP.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is important to acknowledge Mr. Okoh Chibuike Francis of the University of Port Harcourt (Nigeria) for sharing his programming ideas; given that he is good at programming with Java, XML and JSON which is somewhat similar to C++. The coder of the simplex C++ syntax obtained in cplusplus.com, together with other co-authors are recognized for their commitment towards a successful completion of this paper.

### APPENDIX

#### Appendix A

Problems 1, 2 and 3 are chemical engineering problems developed and solved by different authors in the field. Table 4 is the C++ coding mimicking the Simplex solution steps. They provide the results seen in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

**Table 4:** C++ Code for Simplex Method Linear Programming Problem





Source: (http://www.cplusplus.com/forum/general/194307)

The last row of Table 4 tells us that C++ sets 'Y' as the maximize option and 'N', the minimize function.

# Appendix B

The syntax of typing any LPP in Lingo software is as shown in Figure 5, 6 and 7.

Lingo 18.0 Solver Status [Lingo1]			×	
	Solver Status Model Class: State:	LP Global Opt	Variables Total: Nonlinear: Integers:	0 0 0
	Infeasibility: Iterations:	203.88	Constraints Total: Nonlinear:	1 0
Lingo Model - Lingo1	Extended Solver S Solver Type: Best Obj: Obj Bound:	Status	Generator Memory U	0 0 sed (K)
X2 = 5.0 X3 = 1.5 X4 = 2.0 END	Steps: Active: Update Interval: 2	 	Elapsed Runtime (hh 00:00:1 mupt Solver	cmm:ss) .9 Close
		.T. C.1	0	



This however proves Figure 3. Solution to Problem 2 is infeasible. The setup that agrees with Excel Solver and C++ result is displayed in Figure 6:

	Lingo 18.0 Solver Status	[Lingo1]	×
	Solver Status		Variables
Vince Model - Lingel	Model Class:	LP	Total: 3
	State: (	lobal Opt	Nonlinear: U
MAX 2500 X1 + 6000 X2 + 5000 X3	Sidic. (	Sidbai opt	integers. 0
51	Objective:	35714.3	Constraints
26  XI + 100  X2 + 250  X3 = 400	Infeasibility:	0	Total: 19
1 X1 + 9 X2 + 4 X3 <= 90000	Iterational	2	Nonlinear: 0
1 X1 + 6 X2 + 2 X3 <= 40000	iterations.	2	Nonzeros
$5 X1 + 20 X2 + 50 X3 \le 90000$	- Extended Solver Statu		Total: 43
1 X1 + 3 X2 <= 10000	Column Turner		Nonlinear: 0
1 X1 + 3 X2 + 2 X3 <= 60000	Solver Type.		
5 X1 + 20 X2 <= 60000	Best Obj:		Generator Memory Used (K)
5 X1 + 20 X2 <= 90000	Obj Bound:		25
4 X1 + 15 X2 + 25 X3 <= 70000	Change		
14 X1 + 20 X2 <= 200000	Steps:		Elapsed Runtime (hh:mm:ss)
5 X3 <= 90000	Active:		00:00:03
2 X3 <= 20000			
32 X1 + 132 X2 + 336 X3 <= 475200			
65 X1 + 209 X2 + 450 X3 <= 748800	Update Interval: 2	Inter	rupt Solver Close
X1 <= 3640			
X2 <= 1300	Variable	Value	Reduced Cost
A3 <= 520	X1	14.28571	0.000000
END	X2	0.000000	2928.571
	X3	0.00000	17321.43

(a) Lindo (Problem 2) Model (b) Lingo Solver Output Fig. 6: Problem 2 Lingo Solver Result

Simplex C++ Syntax For Solving Chemical Engineering Cost Optimization Problems



Fig. 7: Problem 3 Lingo Solver Result

Though Lingo Solver claims infeasibility in problem 3 model, crates of Schweppes 33cl,  $x_6 =$ 339481.8 (which is correct). All other variables are zero, therefore the objective function = 317.15  $x_6$  = 107666652.9 (also the right result). As of June 19, 2021, N107,666,652.9 was equivalent to \$262,248.4 which is the expected maximum profit for the company.

#### REFERENCE

- N. V. Vaidya, S. R. Pidurkar, M. Shant and S. S. Uparkar, "Graphical View of Quick Simplex Method to Solve Linear [1]. Programming Problem," International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 5694 - 5710, 2020.
- [2]. T. S. Ferguson, Linear Programming: A Concise Introduction, 2014, p. 66.
- D. Mahto, "Linear Programming III (Simplex Method)," in Essentials of Operations Research, Jaipur, 2015, p. 19. [3].
- H. Arsham, The Classical Simplex Method, Baltimore, 2020. [4].
- H. K. Dass, Advanced Engieering Mathematics, 1st ed., New Delhi: S. Chand Technical, 1988, pp. 1243-1303. [5].
- M. R. Hussain, M. Oayyum and M. E. Hussain, "Effect of Seven Steps Approach on Simplex Method to Optimize the Mathematical [6]. Manipulation," International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE), vol. 7, no. 5, 1 January 2019.
- P. A. Ihom, J. Jatau and H. Muhammad, "The use of LP Simplex Method in the Determination of the Minimized Cost of a Newly [7]. Developed Core Binder," Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies, no. 11, pp. 155-162, 2007.
- [8]. B. S. Anggoro, R. M. Rakhmawati, A. M. Mentari, C. D. Novitasari and I. Yulista, "Profit Optimization Using Simplex Methods on Home Industry Bintang Bakery in Sukarame Bandar Lampung," Journal of Physics: Conference Series, pp. 1-6, 2019. [9]
- "Simplex Method Code," 2016. [Online]. Available: http://www.cplusplus.com/forum/general/194307. [Accessed 18 June 2021].
- [10]. N. P. Akpan and O. C. Ojoh, "Karmarkar's Approach for Solving Linear Programming Problem for Profit Maximization in Production Industries: NBC Port-Harcourt Plant," American Journal of Statistics and Probability, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 2017.
- [11]. S. Dragicevic and M. Bojic, "Application of Linear Programming in Energy Management," Serbian Journal of Management, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 227-238, 2009.
- [12]. R. R. Kapuno, Programming for Chemical Engineers Using C, C++ and MATLAB, 1st ed., Jones & Bartlett Learning, 2008.
- A. M. Abubakar and A. A. Mustapha, "Newton's Method Cubic Equation of State C++ Source Code for Iterative Volume [13]. Computation," International Journal of Recent Engineering Science (IJRESONLINE), vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 12-22, 11 June 2021.
- [14]. C. E. Okereke, "Application of the Simplex Method of Linear Programming Model to Saclux Paint Production.," International Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences, vol. 7, no. 2, 14 March 2013.
- H. Kaur and N. Gupta, "Linear Programming: A Boon for Farmers," International Journal of Engineering Applied Science and [15]. Technology (IJEAST), vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 223-226, April 2021.